

SPRUCE FORESTS FROM THE CEAHLĂU MOUNTAIN

OANA ZAMFIRESCU, TOADER CHIFU, CIPRIAN MÂNZU

The aim of our research is to describe the characteristics of the spruce forests from the Ceahlău Mountain. The research area was the central part of the northern Oriental Carpathians, where the spruce forests form a continuous belt between the altitudes of 1010 m and 1820 m, the upper limit being just below the sub-alpine plateau. Based on a significant number of relevés, the spruce communities were classified in three associations belonging to the class *Vaccinio-Piceetea*, order *Picetalia excelsae*, alliance *Piceion excelsae*, which are *Soldanello montanae-Piceetum*, *Hieracio transsilvanio-Piceetum*, *Leucanthemo waldsteinii-Piceetum*. For each association we present the location, the phytosociological and ecological characterisation and the phytosociological table of relevés.

Key words: spruce forests, phytosociological analysis, Ceahlău Mountain, national park.

INTRODUCTION

The Ceahlău Mountain, whose central part was designated a national park, is located in the centre of the northern part of the Oriental Carpathians, in the western extremity of Neamț County. The boundaries of the mountain are Bistricioara River towards north, Izvoru Muntelui-Bicaz reservoir towards east, Bicaz River towards south, and the streams Pântec and Bistra towards south-west.

The mountain is made of sedimentary rocks (Mesozoic and partially Mesozoic). The rocks are from parallel layers with a north-to-south orientation, and with a fall towards east.

According to the pedo-geographical zonation [2], the Ceahlău region belongs to the zone with acid, mountain brown forest soils. In spruce forests, the soil shows a shallow layer of raw, peaty, humus.

The air temperature varies a lot in the Ceahlău Mountain. Thus, the temperature differences between the unshaded and shaded slopes of 2 to 5 °C are also expressed by the vegetation structure. The rainfall is relatively low varying between 544 mm in Bicazu Ardelean and 988 mm in Durău [11].

MATERIAL AND METHODS

We used the classical method for vegetation research by J. Braun-Blanquet, completed and adapted to the local conditions. In order to describe the forest communities, we carried out an extensive investigation.

The relevés were sampled under various conditions in regard to the altitude, exposition, slope, and periods of the vegetation season, with the aim to achieve the complete picture of the grass layer composition. Consequently, the relevés were analysed for the identification of the associations to which they belong.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Association *Soldanello montane-Piceetum* Volk. in Br.-Bl. et al., 1939

The plant communities with *Picea abies* and *Soldanella montana* are largely extended in the area with moderate to steep slopes and highly acid soils [8, 9].

The tree layer is dominated by *Picea abies*, followed by *Acer pseudoplatanus*, *Sambucus racemosa*. The shrub layer is dominated by *Rubus idaeus*, *Daphne mezereum*. The dominant species of the grass layer are *Calamagrostis villosa*, *Dryopteris dilatata*, *Luzula sylvatica*, *Vaccinium myrtillus*, *Vaccinium vitis-idaea*, and *Luzula luzuloides* (Table 1).

2. Association *Hieracio transsilvanico-Piceetum* Pawl. et Br.-Bl., 1939

The spruce forests of this association are largely spread on the slopes of the upper mountain level of the Romanian Carpathians [5, 7], and form the spruce sub-zone of the forest zone [3].

These forests grow on soils which are acid or moderately acid, brown, and poor in moder humus [1].

In the tree layer, which covers 65–90%, the dominant species is *Picea abies*. Because of the soil acidity, most of grass layer includes mostly acidophilous species and covers less than 25% of the investigated area.

A remarkable aspect is the presence of many characteristic species for the order and the alliance [10].

Towards the lower boundary of the spruce forest, beech forest species appear in the floristic composition of the investigated communities. In addition, there are many saxicolous species that grow on calcareous or siliceous rocks (class *Asplenietea trichomanis*) and on scree and blocks resulted from erosion of the rocks (class *Thlaspietea rotundifolii*), plus the hygrophilous and nitrophilous weeds (class *Mulgedio-Aconietea*) 5 (Table 2).

3. Association *Leucanthemo waldsteinii-Piceetum* Krajina 1933

The plant communities of this association are less spread in the Oriental and Occidental Carpathians [4]. Mostly, they occur on river banks and in mountain depressions, on soils which are acid, brown, umbric, mesotrophic, rich in humus, and with a pH between 5.5 and 6.2 [6].

Because these phytocoenoses live in hygrophilous and nitrophilous habitats, they include many weed species of the classes *Mulgedio-Aconietea* and *Galio-Urticetea*. Additionally, for the reason that they live at relatively low altitudes, in contact with the beech forests, the spruce forests are invaded by deciduous forest plants [7] and the grass layer is dominated by species of the *Molinio-Arrhenatheretea* class (Table 3).

Table 1

Ass. *Soldanello montanae-Piceetum* Volk in Br.-Bl. et al. 1939

Relevé number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
Altitude (m)	1680	1780	1810	1670	1750	1550	1870	1750	1650	1600		
Exposition	V	V	V	NV	N	N	NE	E	E	S		
Slope (degrees)	15	30	20	40	40	30	30	10	25	35		
Tree layer cover (%)	90	90	90	65	65	80	80	90	65	90	K	
Shrubs + juveniles cover (%)	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		
Grass layer cover (%)	15	10	5	5	10	5	5	5	15	5		
				Ass. Charact. Sp.								
<i>Soldanella montana</i>	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	IV	
<i>Hieracium transsilvanicum</i>	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	III	
<i>Leucanthemum waldsteinii</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	I	
				Piceion excelsae								
<i>Luzula sylvatica</i>	+	+	+	+	1	-	+	-	1	-	IV	
<i>Dryopteris dilatata</i>	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	III	
<i>Athyrium distentifolium</i>	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	I	
<i>Calamagrostis villosa</i>	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	III	
				Piceetalia excelsae								
<i>Ranunculus carpaticus</i>	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	III	
<i>Luzula luzuloides</i>	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	I	
<i>Calamagrostis arundinacea</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Picea abies</i>	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	V	
				Athyrio - Piceetalia								
<i>Daphne mezereum</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	I	
				Vaccinio - Piceetea								
<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i>	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	II	
<i>Lonicera nigra</i>	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	III	
<i>Campanula abietina</i>	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	IV	
<i>Luzula pilosa</i>	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	1	-	II	
<i>Oxalis acetosella</i>	1	1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	V	
<i>Homogyne alpina</i>	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	III	
<i>Vaccinium myrtillus</i>	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	III	
<i>Vaccinium vitis-idaea</i>	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	II	
<i>Gymnocarpium dryopteris</i>	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	I	

Relevé number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
<i>Moneses uniflora</i>	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	II
<i>Goodyera repens</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	I
<i>Lycopodium selago</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	I
<i>Querco - Fagetea</i>											
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	I
<i>Dentaria glandulosa</i>	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	IV
<i>Veronica urticifolia</i>	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	II
<i>Mycelis muralis</i>	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	II
<i>Myosotis sylvatica</i>	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	III
<i>Lamium galeobdolon</i>	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Dryopteris filix-mas</i>	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	II
<i>Symphytum cordatum</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Moehringia trinervia</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Veronica montana</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Primula elatior</i> ssp. <i>leucophylla</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	I
<i>Adoxa moschatellina</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	I
<i>Galium schultesii</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	II
<i>Asplenietea et Thlaspietea</i>											
<i>Asplenium ramosum</i>	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Polypodium vulgare</i>	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Asplenium ruta-</i> <i>muraria</i>	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Campanula carpatica</i>	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Variae syntaxa</i>											
<i>Veronica chamaedrys</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Fragaria vesca</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I

Relevés site and date: 1–2 La Scaune 08.08.68; 3 Jgheabul lui Vodă 08.08.68; 4 Jgheabul Piciorul Șchiop 09.08.68; 5 Jgheabul Rupturii stream 09.08.68; 6 “La Morminte” 09.08.68; 7 base of Toaca 12.08.68; 8 base of Ocolașul Mare 13.08.68; 9 “La Grohot” 31.08.69; 10 base of Detunata 31.08.69

Table 2

Ass. *Hieracio transsilvanico-Piceetum* Pawl. et Br.-Bl., 1939

Relevé number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	K
Altitude (m)	1550	1355	1230	1120	1250	1225	1360	1010	1220	1300	
Exposition	S	NV	SE	S	V	NV	NV	NV	E	E	
Slope (degrees)	35	35	15	15	35	40	45	30	5	15	
Tree layer cover (%)	80	80	85	90	80	65	70	90	90	70	
Shrubs + juveniles cover (%)	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
Grass layer cover (%)	5	35	25	20	5	5	5	5	20	15	

	Relevé number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	K	
<i>Stellaria nemorum</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
<i>Geranium robertianum</i>	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	1	
<i>Urtica dioica</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
<i>Rumex acetosa</i>	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
<i>Veronica chamaedrys</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
<i>Fragaria vesca</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	III	
<i>Stachys alpina</i>	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
<i>Senecio ovatus</i>	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	II	
<i>Brachytecium velutinum</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
<i>Hylocomium splendens</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
<i>Rubus idaeus</i>	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	II	
<i>Crucia pedemontana</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
<i>Sambucus racemosa</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
<i>Anthriscus racemosus</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Relevé site and date: 1 Jigheabul Oilor 31.07.04; 2 "Lutul Rosii" 02.08.04; 3 La Scaune 03.07.05; 4 Izvorul Alb spring 10.09.69; 5 Stâncă Curniături 08.08.68; 6 La Duruitoarea 09.08.68; 7 Chica Fântâneelor 12.08.68; 8 Piciorul dinspre Bistre 08.08.69; 9 Obcina Lacurilor 17.08.69; 10 Piatra Sură 18.08.69													
Gallo-Urticeata													
<i>Molinio-Arrhenatheretea</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Molinio syntaxa													
<i>Variae syntaxa</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Ass. Leucanthemo waldsteinii-Piceetum Krajina 1933													
		Altitude (m)	Relevé number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		1790	1720	1700	1190	1180	1170	1180	1225	1225	1165	1240	
		E	E	N	NE	NE	NE	V	N	N	N	NV	
Exposition													
Slope (degrees)													
Tree layer cover (%)													
Shrubs + juveniles cover (%)													
Grass layer cover (%)													
Ass. Charact. Sp.													
Piceion excelsiae													
<i>Hieracium transsilvanicum</i>	+	+	2	1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	IV	
<i>Luzula sylvatica</i>	+	+	2	1	+	4	2	4	1	1	V		

Table 3

Ass. Leucanthemo waldsteinii-Piceetum Krajina 1933

Relevé number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	K
<i>Molinio-Arrhenatheretea</i>											
<i>Rumex acetosa</i>	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Ranunculus repens</i>	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	II
<i>Poa pratensis</i>	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	I
<i>Alchemilla vulgaris</i> agg.	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	II
<i>Sagina procumbens</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Campanula glomerata</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Epilobium hirsutum</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	I
<i>Campanula patula</i>	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Variae syntaxa</i>											
<i>Veronica chamaedrys</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Fragaria vesca</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	V
<i>Stachys alpina</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Senecio ovatus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	V
<i>Rubus idaeus</i>	+	+	+	1	1	+	+	+	+	+	V
<i>Cruciata pedemontana</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Sambucus racemosa</i>	-	+	+	1	+	+	-	+	-	-	III
<i>Anthriscus racemosus</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Ranunculus oreophyllus</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Chamaerion angustifolium</i>	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	II

Relevés site and date: 1–3 base of Toaca
 02.08.04; 4–6 Piciorul Săhastru 17.08.04; 7 La
 Cerebuc 02.07.05; 8–10 La Scaune 03.07.05.

CONCLUSIONS

We found the three types of phytocoenoses at the following altitudes: *Hieracio transsilvanico-Piceetum* between 1010 m and 1550 m, *Leucanthemo waldsteinii-Piceetum* between 1165 m and 1790 m, and *Soldanello montanae-Piceetum* between 1550 m and 1820 m.

The covering reached by the grass layer in the investigated associations is dissimilar: 5–15 % for *Soldanello montanae-Piceetum*, 5–20 % for *Hieracio transsilvanico-Piceetum*, and 70–90 % for *Leucanthemo waldsteinii-Piceetum*. The high percentage of the third associations is due to the lower acidity of the substratum.

The superior coenotaxa are well represented, which demonstrates the correct coenotaxonomical classification.

All the three types of phytocoenoses, but mostly the lower altitude communities that border the upper limit of the beech forests are rich in deciduous forest species.

In the rocky valleys and along the streams many species of the class *Mulgedio-Aconietea* grow.

REFERENCES

1. Burduja C., 1968, *Muntele Ceahlău. Flora și vegetația*. Ocrot. nat., București, **6**: 63–92.
2. Cernescu N., 1934, *Facteurs de climat et zones de sol en Roumanie*. Imprimeria Națională, București, 71 p.
3. Chifu T., Mititelu D., Dăscălescu D., 1987, *Flora și vegetația județului Neamț*. Mem. Secț. șt. Acad. Rom. Seria IV, **10**(1): 281–302.
4. Chifu T., Stefan N., 1992, *Contributions to the spruce fir forest study in the Călimani mountains*. An. Șt. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iași (Serie nouă), s. II-a. Biol. veget., **38**: 45–51.
5. Grințescu I., 1924, *Consideration géobotaniques sur le mont Ceahlău (Carpates Orientales)*. Bul. Soc. St. Cluj, **2**(2): 104–112.
6. Nyarady E., 1924, *Contribuții la cunoașterea vegetației și florei muntelui Ceahlău*. Bul. Grăd. Bot. și Muz. Bot. Cluj, **4**: 2–3.
7. Pop I., Cristea V., Hodisan I., 2002, *Vegetația județului Cluj (Studiu fitocenologic, ecologic, bioeconomic și eco-protectiv)*. Contrib. bot. (1999–2000). Cluj-Napoca, **35**: 5–254.
8. Răvărău M., 1936, *Noutăți din flora Muntelui Ceahlău, Distr. Neamț*. Bul. Grăd. Bot. Muz. Bot. Univ. Cluj, **16** (1–4): 78–85.
9. Sanda V., Popescu A., Stanca D., 2001, *Structura cenotică și caracterizarea ecologică a fitocenozelor din România*. Edit. Conphis.
10. Zamfirescu O., Chifu T., 2003, *Contributions to the study of class Vaccinio-Piceetea Br.-Bl. 1939 from the Oriental Carpathians*. Contr. bot., 1, Cluj-Napoca, **38**: 37–46.7.
11. Zanoschi V., 1971, *Flora și vegetația masivului Ceahlău*. Doctorate thesis. Cluj-Napoca.