BIOWETMAN

A science based approach to understand biodiversity driven functions and services for improving wetland management

Dr. Cristina Sandu Institute of Biology Bucharest Romanian Academy



BIOWETMAN wks Buc





Funded by: AUSTRIAN SCIENCE AND RESEARCH LIAISON OFFICE (ASO)

Program: Research Cooperation and Networking between Austria and South Eastern Europe

Project Coordinator: Dr. Thomas Hein, A

Duration: May 2008 – March 2009

Partners:

1.Wasserkluster Lunz biologische Station GmbH, Austria
 2.Institute of Zoology Sofia, BAS, Bulgaria
 3.Department of Biology, Strossmayer University Osijek, Croatia
 4.Institute of Biology Bucharest, Romanian Academy
 5.Faculty of Sciences, University of Novi Sad, Serbia





State lanthe-ditt terrestrial - aquatic ecosystems → high biodiversity, productivity, intense processing of matter.

- reduce influences from the land, provide migration corridors, support biodiversity and water quality in both adjacent environments (Naiman & Decamps, 1997, McClain et al. 2003, Verhoeven et al. 2006) – buffer areas.

Their ecological significance was acknowledged during the last decades - countermeasure to increasing anthropogenic impacts.

Wetlands restoration schemes designed – aims: protect, conserve and restore - integration of different disciplines and link with management organizations is only partially operative; - mainly hydrological measures, the interaction of other factors being less known.

Future steps: integrated efforts to improve our knowledge about wetlands biodiversity, functions, services and concerted measures implementation at DRB scale





BIOWETMAN

Danube River Basin

>About 80 % of the former floodplains have been lost or are functionally extinct.

Anthropogenic impacts have led to habitat destruction, system fragmentation - disrupted structures and functions in the aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems.

 The idea of biodiversity integrates the structural diversity of the ecosystem with the diversity of processes and the diversity of species
 these interactions occur across different scales.

Eco-hydrology is the suggested approach to link environmental driving forces, water quality and biodiversity.





BIOWETMAN

Aim and objectives:

>To investigate the role of biodiversity in water quality improvement and other ecosystem services, thus, leading to potential answers how wetlands functions can support the implementation of WFD and human needs

To select case studies and their pressures – evaluate impact situation and the urgent needs related to ecosystem services, especially in the context of climate change

To initiate a network of scientists and wetland managers for improving wetland management on a larger scale by using an integrated scientific approach

>To increase knowledge and know-how exchange within this network in order to provide useful scientific tools to the decision makers for a sustainable management of Danubian wetlands







Case studies Austria: Donau-Auen National Park



The Donau-Auen National Park extends from Vienna to the mouth of the Morava River, on the border to Slovakia.

The park protects the last remaining major wetland environment in Central Europe. For 36 km, the Danube flows freely The dynamic rise and fall of water levels - up to 7m - mean that the wetlands landscape is constantly reshaped - creates habitats for a large number of plants and animals.

Founded : 1996 Surface: 9,300 ha > 800 vascular plants > 30 mammals > 100 breeding birds > 8 reptiles and 13 amphibians > about 60 fish species







Case studies



Founded: 1967 Surface: 23.894 ha International protection: IBA



Croatia – Nature Park Kopacki Rit

Kopački rit is a floodplain area of the Danube River, situated in northeast Croatia at the confluence of the Drava and Danube rivers.

One of the largest alluvial plains in Europe that extends to the north all the way to Szekszard in Hungary.

> Algae: 746 species Vascular flora: 425 species Invertebrates: 632 species Birds: 289 species Amphibians: 11 Reptiles: 10 Mammals: 55 Fish: 44













Case studies Serbia: Koviljsko-petrovaradinski marshes

Special Nature Reserve – 1998

International status: IBA site(1989) ICPDR area (2004) Candidate for Ramsar Site

Surface: 4840,60 ha



-backwaters, ponds, swamps;
-forests, meadows, reeds, rushes;
-172 species of birds
- 46 species of fish





BIOWETMAN wks



Case studies Bulgaria: Srebarna Biosphere Reserve



Surface: 902.1 ha; 600 ha - BR

Designated as :

- Monument of World Cultural and Natural Heritage (1983)

- UNESCO biosphere reserve (1977)
- RAMSAR site (1975)
- Important Bird Area (1990)



A dyke built in 1948, together with intensive use of groundwater and land use change lead to accelerated eutrophication **Restoration activities (1993-**1994) especially a canal which re-connected the lake with the Danube, lead to the beginning of lake's recovery.



- -139 vascular plant species -19 fish species until 1948 -21 reptile and amphibian species
- -41 mammal species
- -230 bird species









Case studies Romania: Danube Delta Biosphere Reserve

THE DANUBE DELTA BIOSPHERE RESERVE



Surface: 580,000 ha

Danube Delta – Biosphere Reserve –

Included in: - World Natural Heritage List

- Ramsar Convention List
- UNESCO program Man and
- **Biosphere Inventory 1991 1997: 1615**
- plant species and 3491 invertebrate and

vertebrate species (Oosterberg et al, 2000)











BIOWETMAN wks Buchar





Worldwide decline of biodiversity

Population Index = 100 in 1970







Major cause: environmental

changes



Figure 5a, Projected water requirements for food production for 92 developing countries to reach MDG goal 2015 and to reach full diet by 2030 10 000 8 000 year Cubic kilometers per 6 000 4 000 2 000 1970 1980 1990 2002 2016 2030 2050 vea

NOTE: These projections assume 3,000 kilocalories per person per day with 20 percent animal protein and today's water productivity.

SOURCE: Stockholm Environment Institute (SEI), Water Energy and Sanitation: Attaining the Millennium Development Goals (Stockholm: SEI, 2005). Increased environmental pressure:

- Extent agriculture and industry
 - modified land use
 - increased pollution + nutrient load
- Hydromorphological alterations
 - river channelization, dams, dikes
 - drying wetlands for agriculture
- Global warming



BIOWETMAN wks



Wetlands importance

Through their conversion to agricultural and construction lands, through diking, filling and draining – over 53% of US wetlands were lost between the 1780s and 1980s (Meyer 1995).

Nowadays, the concept of "mitigation" was promoted by law — if wetlands are to be altered for other uses, compensation must be provided, often in the form of physical improvements, for those benefits that would be diminished as a result of alteration of system function.

Knowledge of wetlands resource values allows us to recognize the costs (i.e., lost resource values) associated with wetlands development and the long term benefits of wetlands protection (Leschine et al, 1997).





Value of ecosystem services (Constanza et al, 1997): 33,3 x 109 US\$/an

Wetlands - 4,9 x 10⁹ US\$/an (15%)





BIOWETMAN wks



ECOSYSTEM SERVICES (De Groot et al, 2002)

| | Functions | Ecosystem processes and components | Goods and services (examples) | |
|---|------------------------|---|---|--|
| A | Regulation Functions | Maintenance of essential ecological processes and life support systems | | |
| 1 | Gas regulation | Role of ecosystems in bio-geochemical cycles | 1.1 UVb-protection by O3 (preventing disease)(e.g. CO2/O2 balance, ozone layer)1.2 Maintenance of air quality.1.3 Influence on climate | |
| 2 | Climate regulation | Influence of land cover and biologically mediated processes on climate | Maintenance of a favorable climate (temp., precipitation, etc) for example, for human habitation, health, cultivation | |
| 3 | Disturbance prevention | Influence of ecosystem structure on dampening environmental disturbances | 3.1 Storm protection (e.g. by coral reefs)3.2 Flood prevention (e.g. by wetlands and forests) | |
| 4 | Water regulation | Role of land cover in regulating runoff & river discharge | 4.1 Drainage and natural irrigation.4.2 Medium for transport | |
| 5 | Water supply | Filtering, retention and storage of fresh water (e.g. in aquifers) | Provision of water for consumption (drinking, irrigation, industrial use) | |
| 6 | Soil retention | Role of vegetation root matrix and soil biota in soil retention | 6.1 Maintenance of arable land.6.2 Prevention of damage from erosion/siltation | |
| 7 | Soil formation | Weathering of rock, accumulation of organic matter | 7.1 Maintenance of productivity on arable land.7.2 Maintenance of natural productive soils | |
| 8 | Nutrient regulation | Role of biota in storage and re-cycling of nutrients (N, P, S) | Maintenance of healthy soils and productive ecosystems | |



BIOWETMAN wks



ECOSYSTEM SERVICES (De Groot et al, 2002)

| | Functions | Ecosystem processes and components | Goods and services (examples) | |
|----|----------------------|---|--|--|
| 9 | Waste treatment | Role of vegetation & biota in removal or breakdown of xeniobiotic nutrients and compounds | 9.1 Pollution control/detoxification.9.2 Filtering of dust particles.9.3 Abatement of noise pollution | |
| 10 | Pollination | Role of biota in movement of floral gametes | 10.1 Pollination of wild plants 10.2 Pollination of crops | |
| 11 | Biological control | Population control through trophic- dynamic relations | 11.1 Control of pests and diseases. 11.2 Reduction of herbivory (crop damage) | |
| В | Habitat Functions | Providing habitat for wild plant and animal species | Maintenance of biological & genetic diversity (and thus the basis for other functions) | |
| 12 | Refugium function | Suitable living space for wild plants and animals | Maintenance of commercially harvested species | |
| 13 | Nursery function | Suitable reproduction habitat | 13.1 Hunting, gathering of fish, fruits 13.2 Small-scale subsistence farming & aquaculture | |
| С | Production Functions | Provision of natural resources | | |
| 14 | Food | Conversion of solar energy into edible plants and animals | Provision of plants, vegetables fish, meat from natural and semi-natural ecosystems | |
| 15 | Raw materials | Conversion of solar energy into biomass for human construction and other uses | 15.1 Building & manufacturing (e.g. wood, animals skins). 15.2 Fuel and energy (e.g. oil, fossil fuel, organic matter). 15.3 Fertilizer (e.g. leaves, litter, manure). | |



BIOWETMAN wks



ECOSYSTEM SERVICES (De Groot et al, 2002)

| | Functions | Ecosystem processes and components | Goods and services (examples) | |
|----|------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| 16 | Genetic resources | Genetic material and evolution in wild plants and animals | 16.1 Improve crop resistance to pathogens & pests 16.2 Other applications (e.g. health care) | |
| 17 | Medicinal resources | Variety in (bio)chemical substances and other medicinal uses of natural biota | 17.1 Drugs and pharmaceuticals 17.2 Chemical models & tools. 17.3 Test- and essay organisms | |
| 18 | Ornamental resources | Variety of biota in natural ecosystems with (potential) ornamental use | Resources for fashion, jewelry, pets, decoration & souvenirs (furs, feathers, ivory, orchids, butterflies, aquarium fish) | |
| D | Information Functions | Providing opportunities for cognitive development | | |
| 19 | Aesthetic information | Attractive landscape features | Enjoyment of scenery (scenic roads, housing) | |
| 20 | Recreation | Variety in landscapes with (potential) recreational uses | Travel to natural ecosystems for eco-tourism, outdoor sports, etc. | |
| 21 | Cultural and artistic information | Variety in natural features with cultural and artistic value | Use of nature as motive in books, film, folklore, national symbols, architecture, advertising | |
| 22 | Spiritual and historic information | Variety in natural features with spiritual and historic value | Use of nature for religious or historic purposes (heritage value of natural ecosystems, features) | |
| 23 | Science and education | Variety in nature with scientific and educational value | Use of natural systems for school excursions, scientific research | |





Selected wetlands - BIOWETMAN

| Wetlands | Regulation Functions | Habitat Functions | Production Functions | Information Functions |
|----------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Austria | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Bulgaria | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Croatia | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Romania | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Serbia | yes | yes | yes | yes |





Case study 1 – New York City (Turner & Daily, 2008)

1991 - EPA ordered New York City to build a water filtration plant. Estimated budget: 8 billion US\$ plus 300 million in annual operating costs

Revolutionary approach – city officials invested in restoring the watershed.

Since 1997, nearly 2 billion US\$ were invested in land management changes

- land purchased around reservoirs to preserve forests and wetlands,
- landowners paid to restore forest along streams,
- technical aid and infrastructure offered to farmers and foresters.

Triple-win situation (Daily and Ellison 2002): urban people get pure-water at lower cost; rural people are rewarded for the land; visitors and rural residents enjoy the spectacular landscape.





Case study 2 – Napa River (Turner and Daily, 2008)

- Worldwide floods costs approx. 40,000 deaths and 29 billion US\$ (1999 2000) (Daily and Ellison 2002).
- Floods in US damages of 4 billion US\$.
- After 28 major floods and over 500 million US\$ in damages, Napa County adopted a "living river" approach to flooding.
- The ecosystem approach more expensive (by 50 million US\$) accepted in view of the many benefits not explicitly valued.
- The town revitalized by major increases in private investment after the approval of the flood plan construction, boating, hiking, fine dining etc. (Brauman 2006).
- Napa's plan mitigates flooding over 6 of the 55miles of the Napa River now the efforts are focused on the improvement of upstream management of the river – stressing the dependence of local efforts on support at larger scales.





Case study 3 - China

(Turner and Daily, 2008)

Studies have shown that flood peaks may be 80 percent higher in watersheds without wetlands than in similar basins with large wetland areas (U.S. ACOE 1976, quoted by Leschine et al, 1997)

- Due to the massive flooding in 1998 (damages of 20 billion US\$) the Chinese government promoted a new land-use policy entitled the National Forest Conservation Program (NFCP).

- The policy is intended to regulate water flow and promote soil retention, primarily by conserving and restoring natural forests while increasing timber production in plantations.

- Preserve 30million ha of natural forests in the upper reaches of the Yangtze River and upper and middle reaches of the Yellow River (SFB 2005).

- The government has invested billions US\$ into the programme - trainings, resettlements, direct compensation of forest dwellers, mandatory conversion of marginal farmlands to forest lands (Zhang et al. 2000).





Take Home Message

The wetlands along Danube River are highly threatened by the environmental changes

The legal framework demands "good ecological status" (EU-WFD)

Protecting wetlands biodiversity and functions means protecting human well-being

Integrative restoration measures of wetlands along the Danube River are needed







Networking + project application



BIOWETMAN wks





THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION









BIOWETMAN wks

